

imply that some accessibility is necessary in all cases and that the only question is what is reasonable and what is unreasonable. What my amendment says is it may well be unreasonable to provide any access in certain situations. For example, if you have a row of business establishments along the one side of one block and maybe the frontage on one particular piece of property would only be 60 or 70 feet and it may not be practically feasible to give any access to a particular business at a particular point in time without incurring enormous expenses. And I think that the Department of Roads and the political subdivisions ought to be able to follow the rule that they are following now and provide ingress and egress if it is reasonable. And, of course, when you get down to what is reasonable, you are getting down to a judgment call and it is almost impossible, it seems to me, for this Legislature to define a thousand and one different situations as to what is reasonable and not reasonable but that we ought to give the department some latitude. I think it is a good bill in the sense that it reemphasizes the Legislature's desire that they pay attention to these businesses that live along the roadway and that putting the exiting policy into statute it seems to me is not a bad idea, but if we are going to do that, given the enormous costs of providing alternative and temporary approaches and accessibility, we ought to start on this policy very slowly and that we ought to start out with some language more limited than what is in the committee amendments. With that explanation, I would ask the adoption of the amendment to the amendment.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator DeCamp, did you wish to speak to the Beutler amendment?

SENATOR DECAMP: Mr. President, I guess I have no objection to the amendment. At first I thought that he was watering down the bill too much. I still have a little touch of that feeling. However, my main goal is to get on the books something that establishes that when you are building a road you have got to at least take into consideration access to the people and businesses along that road and I think even with his additional amendment it still does that. For that reason I would accept the amendment and hope that would settle the issue.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Schmit, on the amendment to the amendment.